

# Mean-payoff Games

Barbara Jobstmann

CNRS/Verimag (Grenoble, France)

Bucharest, May 2010

## Definition

- ▶ Game graph for 2 players  $(S, S_0, E)$
- ▶ Reward/weight function  $r : E \rightarrow [-W, \dots, 0, \dots, W]$
- ▶ Player-0 value of a play  $\rho = s_0 s_1 \dots$  starting in state  $s_0$  is

$$\text{MP}_0(\rho) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r(s_{i-1}, s_i)$$

- ▶ Player-1 value of a play  $\rho = s_0 s_1 \dots$  starting in state  $s_0$  is

$$\text{MP}_1(\rho) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n r(s_{i-1}, s_i)$$

- ▶ Aim of Player 0: maximize  $\text{MP}_0(\rho)$
- ▶ Aim of Player 1: minimize  $\text{MP}_1(\rho)$
- ▶ Introduced by Ehrenfeucht and Mycielski

## Value of a state and optimal strategies

- ▶ Given a game  $G$ , we denote by  $\Pi_i$  the set of all possible strategies of Player  $i$ .
- ▶ The **Player-0 value of a state  $s$  under strategies**  $\pi_0 \in \Pi_0$  and  $\pi_1 \in \Pi_1$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{V}_0(s, \pi_0, \pi_1)$ , is the mean-payoff value of the play starting in  $s$  that is compatible with  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$ .

$$\mathcal{V}_0(s, \pi_0, \pi_1) := \text{MP}_0(G_{s, \pi_0, \pi_1})$$

- ▶ The **Player-0 value of a state  $s$  under the strategy**  $\pi_0 \in \Pi_0$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{V}_0(s, \pi_0)$ , is  $\mathcal{V}_0(s, \pi_0) := \inf_{\pi_1 \in \Pi_1} \mathcal{V}_0(s, \pi_0, \pi_1)$ .  
(Player 0 want so ensure the value independent of Player 1.)
- ▶ A strategy  $\pi_0 \in \Pi_0$  is **optimal for Player 0 in a state  $s$**  if  $\mathcal{V}_0(s, \pi_0)$  is maximal, i.e.,  $\forall \pi_0' \in \Pi_0 : \mathcal{V}_0(s, \pi_0') \leq \mathcal{V}_0(s, \pi_0)$ .
- ▶ Player-1 value and optimal strategies are defined analogously.

## Determinacy and positional optimal strategies

For all state  $s$ , there exists a value  $v_s$  such that there exists a positional Player-0 and a positional Player-1 strategy  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$  that ensure

$$v_s \leq \mathcal{V}_0(s, \pi_0) \quad \mathcal{V}_1(s, \pi_1) \leq v_s$$

$v_s$  is called the **value of state  $s$** .

Note that  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$  are optimal strategies.

## Determinacy and positional optimal strategies

We use a result from Gimbert and Zielonka, “Games where you can play optimally without any memory” [CONCUR 2005]

### Theorem

*Suppose that a value function  $\mathcal{V}$  is such that for each finite game graph  $G = (S, S_0, E)$  controlled by one player, i.e. such that either  $S_0 = \emptyset$  or  $S_1 = \emptyset$ , the player controlling all states of  $G$  has an optimal (uniform) positional strategy in the game. Then for all finite two-player game graph  $G$  both players have optimal positional strategies in the game  $G$*

## One-player games have positional strategies

Consider a game graph  $G$  with  $S = S_0$  and an arbitrary state  $s \in S$

Claim: the best Player 0 can do is go from  $s$  to a simple cycle  $C$  with maximal average reward  $r_{max}$  and stay in the cycle. The payoff

Player-0 gets with this strategy is the average reward of the cycle  $C$ .

**To proof:** for all plays  $\rho = s_0s_1 \dots$  starting in  $s$ ,  $\mathcal{V}(\rho) \leq v_{max}$ .

Consider an arbitrary play  $\rho = s_0s_1 \dots$ , first we decompose the play into its cycles as follows: we put the state on a stack and as soon as we revisit a state that is already on the stack, we have found a cycle and we remove the states from the stack. Let  $C_0, C_1, \dots$  be the sequence of simple cycle generated like this and let  $v_0v_1 \dots$  be the average reward we obtain in these cycle.

## One-player games have positional strategies

Then, we know that  $\forall i \geq 0 : v_i \leq v_{max}$ , since  $v_{max}$  is the maximal average reward we can obtain with a simple cycle.

This prove that  $\mathcal{V}(\rho) \leq v_{max}$  for any arbitrary play  $\rho$ .

The proof for  $S = S_1$  is similar but now we search for the simple cycle with minimal average.

(Note that these cycle can be find with Karp's shortest path algorithm in polynomial time.)

## $k$ -Step Game [Zwick and Paterson]

The two players play the game for exactly  $k$  steps constructing a path of length  $k$ , and the weight of this path is the outcome of the game.

The length of the game is known in advance to both players.

Let  $v_k(s)$  be the value of this game started at a  $s \in S$ .

### Theorem

*The values  $v_k(s)$  for all  $s \in S$  can be computed in  $O(k \cdot |E|)$  time.*

### Proof.

The result follows easily from the following recursive relation

$$v_k(s) = \begin{cases} \max_{(s,s') \in E} \{r(s, s') + v_{k-1}(s')\} & \text{if } s \in S_0 \\ \min_{(s,s') \in E} \{r(s, s') + v_{k-1}(s')\} & \text{if } s \in S_1 \end{cases}$$

along with the initial condition  $v_0(s) = 0$  for all  $s \in S$ .





## Convergence of $k$ -step game

Intuitively,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_k(s)/k = v(s)$ , where  $v(s)$  is the value of the infinite game that starts at  $s$ .

### Theorem

*For every  $s \in S$ , we have*

$$k \cdot v(s) - 2nW \leq v_k(s) \leq k \cdot v(s) + 2nW$$

### Proof.

We use the fact that both players have positional optimal strategies.

Let  $\pi_0$  be a positional optimal strategy for player 0 start at  $s$ . We show that if Player 0 plays according to  $\pi_0$  then the output of the  $k$ -step game is at least  $(k - n) \cdot v(s) - nW$ . □

Consider play compatible with  $\pi_0$ . Push edges played by the players onto a stack. Whenever a cycle  $C$  is formed, the mean weight of  $C$  is at least  $v(s)$  (since  $\pi_0$  is an optimal strategy for player 0). The edges part of  $C$  lie at the top of the stack. They are removed and the process continues. At each stage the stack contains at most  $n$  edges with weight at least  $-W$ . Player 0 can therefore ensure that the total weight of the edges encountered in a  $k$ -step game starting from  $s$  is at least  $(k - n) \cdot v(s) - nW$ . This is at least  $k \cdot v(s) - 2nW$  as  $v(s) < W$ . Similarly, if player 1 plays according to a positional optimal strategy  $\pi_1$ , she can make sure that the mean weight of each cycle closed is at most  $v(s)$ . At most  $n$  edges are left on the stack and the weight of each of them is at most  $W$ . She can therefore ensure that the total weight of the edges encountered in a  $k$ -step game starting at  $s$  is at most  $(k - n) \cdot v(s) + nW \leq k \cdot v(s) + 2nW$ .

## Algorithm

### Theorem

Let  $G = (S, S_0, E)$  be a game graph with a reward function  $w : E \rightarrow \{-W, \dots, 0, \dots, W\}$ . The value  $v(s)$  for every state  $s \in S$  can be computed in  $O(|S|^3 \cdot |E| \cdot W)$  time.

### Proof.

Compute the values  $v_k(s)$ , for every  $s \in S$ , for  $k = 2n^3W$ . This can be done, according to the previous theorem, in  $O(|S|^3 \cdot |E| \cdot W)$  time.

For each state  $s \in S$ , compute the estimate  $v'(s) = v_k(s)/k$ :

$$\begin{aligned} v_k(s) - 2nW &\leq k \cdot v(s) \leq v_k(s) + 2nW \\ v'(s) - \frac{2nW}{k} &\leq v(s) \leq v'(s) + \frac{2nW}{k} \\ v'(s) - \frac{1}{n(n-2)} &\leq v'(s) - \frac{2nW}{k} \leq v(s) \leq v'(s) + \frac{2nW}{k} \leq v'(s) + \frac{1}{n(n-2)} \end{aligned}$$

## Proof (cont.)

The value  $v(s)$  is a rational number, with a denominator whose size is at most  $n$ . The minimum distance between two possible values of  $v(s)$  is at least  $\frac{2}{n(n-2)}$ . The exact value of  $v(s)$  is therefore the unique rational number with a denominator of size at most  $n$  that lies in the interval  $[v'(s) - \frac{1}{n(n-2)}, v'(s) + \frac{1}{n(n-2)}]$ .