## Introduction to Logic and Automata Theory

Radu Iosif
Verimag/CNRS (Grenoble, France)

#### Ensuring Correctness of Hw/Sw Systems

- Uses logic to specify correctness properties, e.g.:
  - the program never crashes
  - the program always terminates
  - every request to the server is eventually answered
  - the output of the tree balancing function is a tree, provided the input is also a tree …
- Given a logical specification, we can do either:
  - VERIFICATION: prove that a given system satisfies the specification
  - SYNTHESIS: build a system that satisfies the specification

#### Approaches to Verification

- THEOREM PROVING: reduce the verification problem to the satisfiability of a logical formula (entailment) and invoke an off-the-shelf theorem prover to solve the latter
  - Floyd-Hoare checking of pre-, post-conditions and invariants
  - Certification and Proof-Carrying Code
- MODEL CHECKING: enumerate the states of the system and check that the transition system satisfies the property
  - explicit-state model checking (SPIN)
  - symbolic model checking (SMV)
- COMBINED METHODS:
  - static analysis (ASTREE)
  - predicate abstraction (SLAM, BLAST)

#### Approaches to Synthesis

#### • TREE AUTOMATA:

- starting point: logical specification
- build word automaton from logic formula
- transform into tree automaton
- decide emptiness and build system from witness tree

#### CONTROL and GAME THEORY:

- starting point: incomplete/uncontrolled system with two types of freedom (system/environment choice) and an objective
- the uncontrolled system is given as a game
- controller/strategy tell how to achieve objective

### Logic and Automata Connection

Given an automaton A, we build a logical formula  $\varphi_A$  whose set of models is exactly the language of the automaton.

Given a logical formula  $\varphi$ , we build an automaton  $A_{\varphi}$  that recognizes the set of all structures (models) in which  $\varphi$  holds.

Assuming that  $A_{\varphi}$  belongs to a well-behaved class of automata, we can tackle the following problems:

- ullet SATISFIABILITY:  $\varphi$  has a model if and only if  $A_{\varphi}$  is not empty
- ullet MODEL CHECKING: a given structure is a model of arphi if and only if it belongs to the language of  $A_{arphi}$

## Overview: Word and Tree Logics

	First Order Logic	$\subset$	Monadic Second Order Logic
finite words	LTL, Star Free, Aperiodic Sets		Finite Automata
infinite words	LTL, Star Free, Aperiodic Sets		Büchi, Rabin Automata
finite trees	*		Tree Automata
infinite trees	*		Rabin Automata, Games

## Overview: Integer Logics

Presburger Arithmetic  $\subset \langle \mathbb{N}, +, V_p \rangle$ 

Semilinear Sets *p*-automata

# **Preliminaries**

#### Words

An *alphabet* is a finite non-empty set of symbols  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c, \ldots\}$ .

A word of length n over  $\Sigma$  is a sequence  $w = a_0 a_1 \dots a_{n-1}$ , where  $a_i \in \Sigma$ , for all  $0 \le i < n$ . An infinite word is an infinite sequence of elements of  $\Sigma$ .

Equivalently, a word is a function  $w:\{0,1,\ldots,n-1\}\to \Sigma$ . The *length* n of the word w is denoted by |w|. The *empty word* is denoted by  $\epsilon$ , i.e.  $|\epsilon|=0$ .

 $\Sigma^*$  ( $\Sigma^{\omega}$ ) is the set of all finite (infinite) words over  $\Sigma$ , and  $\Sigma^{\infty} = \Sigma^* \cup \Sigma^{\omega}$ . We denote  $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^* \setminus \{\epsilon\}$ .

The *concatenation* of two words w and u is denoted as wu. The *prefix* u of w is defined as  $u \leq w$  iff there exists  $v \in \Sigma^*$  such that uv = w.

#### **Trees**

A prefix-closed set  $S \in \Sigma^*$  is such that for all  $w \in S$  and  $u \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $u \leq w \Rightarrow u \in S$ .

A prefix-free set  $S \in \Sigma^*$  is such that for all  $u, v \in S$ ,  $u \neq v \Rightarrow u \nleq v$  and  $v \nleq u$ .

A *tree* over  $\Sigma$  is a partial function  $t: \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \Sigma$  such that dom(t) is a prefix-closed set.

A tree t is said to be *finite-branching* iff for all  $p \in dom(t)$ , the number of children of p is finite. A tree t is said to be *finite* if dom(t) is finite.

Lemma 1 (König) A finitely branching tree is infinite iff it has an infinite path.

#### Ranked Trees

A ranked alphabet  $\langle \Sigma, \# \rangle$  is a set of symbols together with a function  $\#: \Sigma \to \mathbb{N}$ . For  $f \in \Sigma$ , the value #(f) is said to be the arity of f.

A ranked tree t over  $\Sigma$  is a partial function  $t: \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \Sigma$  that satisfies the following conditions:

- dom(t) is a finite prefix-closed subset of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ , and
- for each  $p \in dom(t)$ , if #(t(p)) = n > 0 then  $\{i \mid pi \in dom(t)\} = \{1, \dots, n\}.$

A symbol of arity zero is also called a *constant*. A finite tree over a ranked alphabet is also called a *term*.

First Order Logic

The *alphabet* of FOL consists of the following symbols:

- predicate symbols:  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, =$
- function symbols:  $f_1, f_2, \ldots$
- constant symbols:  $c_1, c_2, \ldots$
- first-order variables: x, y, z, ...
- connectives:  $\lor, \land, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow, \neg, \bot, \forall, \exists$

The set of *first-order terms* is defined inductively:

- ullet any constant symbol c is a term,
- ullet any first-order variable x is a term,
- if  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n$  are terms and f is a function symbol of arity n > 0, then  $f(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)$  is a term,
- nothing else is a term.

A term with no variable is said to be a *ground term*. An *atomic proposition* is any proposition of the form  $p(t_1, \ldots, p_n)$  or  $t_1 = t_2$ , where  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n$  are terms.

The set of *first-order formulae* is defined inductively:

- $\bullet$   $\perp$  and  $\top$  are formulae,
- if  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n$  are terms and p is a predicate symbol of arity n > 0, then  $p(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)$  is a formula,
- if  $t_1, t_2$  are terms, then  $t_1 = t_2$  is a formula,
- if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are formulae, then  $\varphi \bullet \psi$ ,  $\neg \varphi$ ,  $\forall x \cdot \varphi$  and  $\exists x \cdot \varphi$  are formulae, for  $\bullet \in \{\lor, \land, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$ ,
- nothing else is a formula.

The *language* of logic FOL is the set of formulae, denoted as  $\mathcal{L}(FOL)$ .

#### FOL Formulae

$$x = y$$

$$\forall x \forall y \ . \ x = y \leftrightarrow y = x$$

$$\exists x (\forall y \ . \ p(x,y)) \rightarrow q(x)$$

$$\forall x : p(x) \to q(f(x))$$

$$\forall x \exists y \ . \ f(x) = y \land (\forall z \ . \ f(z) = y \rightarrow z = x)$$

#### FOL Formulae

The *size* of a formula is the number of subformulae it contains, in other words, the number of nodes in the syntax tree representing the formula. The size of  $\varphi$  is denoted as  $|\varphi|$ .

The variables within the scope of a quantifier are said to be *bound*. The variables that are not bound are said to be *free*. We denote by  $FV(\varphi)$  the set of free variables in  $\varphi$ . If  $FV(\varphi) = \emptyset$  then  $\varphi$  is said to be a *sentence*.

**Example 1** 
$$FV(\forall x . x = y \land x = z \rightarrow p(x)) = \{y, z\} \Box$$

If  $x \in FV(\varphi)$ , we denote by  $\varphi[t/x]$  the formula obtained from  $\varphi$  by substituting x with the term t.

A *structure* is a tuple  $\mathfrak{m} = \langle U, \bar{p_1}, \bar{p_2}, \dots, \bar{f_1}, \bar{f_2}, \dots \rangle$ , where:

- *U* is a (possible infinite) set called the *universe*,
- $\bar{p_i} \subseteq U^{\#(p_i)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$  are the *predicates*,
- $\bar{f}_i: U^{\#(f_i)} \to U$ ,  $i=1,2,\ldots$  are the functions,

The elements of the universe are called *individuals*, denoted by  $\bar{c_1}, \bar{c_2}, \ldots$ 

**NB:** Every constant c from the alphabet of FOL has a corresponding individual  $\bar{c}$ , but not viceversa.

The symbol 0 has a corresponding number  $\bar{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ , and the function symbol s has a corresponding function  $x \mapsto x+1$ . The number  $\bar{1} \in \mathbb{N}$  is denoted as s(0), the number  $\bar{2} \in \mathbb{N}$  as s(s(0)), etc.

Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \langle U, \bar{p_1}, \bar{p_2}, \dots, \bar{f_1}, \bar{f_2}, \dots \rangle$  be a *structure*.

The interpretation of variables is a function:

$$\iota: \{x, y, z, \ldots\} \to U$$

The interpretation function is extended to terms t, denoted as  $\iota(t) \in U$ :

$$\iota(c) = \bar{c}$$

$$\iota(f(t_1, \dots, t_n)) = \bar{f}(\iota(t_1), \dots, \iota(t_n))$$

The meaning of a sentence  $\varphi$  in the structure  $\mathfrak{m}$  under the interpretation  $\iota$  is denoted as  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} \in \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$ :

$$\begin{split} & \llbracket \bot \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} & = & \text{false} \\ & \llbracket p(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}) \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} & = & \text{true} & \text{iff} & \langle \iota(t_{1}), \ldots, \iota(t_{n}) \rangle \in \bar{p} \\ & \llbracket t_{1} = t_{2} \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} & = & \text{true} & \text{iff} & \iota(t_{1}) = \iota(t_{2}) \\ & \llbracket \neg \varphi \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} & = & \text{true} & \text{iff} & \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} = & \text{false} \\ & \llbracket \varphi \wedge \psi \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} & = & \text{true} & \text{iff} & \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} = & \text{true} \\ & \llbracket \exists x \; . \; \varphi \rrbracket_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} & = & \text{true} & & \text{iff} & \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket_{\iota[x \leftarrow u]}^{\mathfrak{m}} = & \text{true} & & \text{for some} \; u \in \mathcal{U} \end{split}$$

where  $\iota[x \leftarrow u](y) = \iota(y)$  if  $x \neq y$  and  $\iota[x \leftarrow u](x) = u$ .

#### Derived meanings:

#### **Decision Problems**

If  $FV(\varphi) = \emptyset$  we denote the meaning of  $\varphi$  in  $\mathfrak{m}$  by  $[\![\varphi]\!]^{\mathfrak{m}}$  (the choice of  $\iota$  is irrelevant)

If  $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\mathfrak{m}} = \text{true we say that } \mathfrak{m} \text{ is a } model \text{ of } \varphi, \text{ denoted as } \mathfrak{m} \models \varphi.$ 

If  $\mathfrak{m} \models \varphi$  for all structures  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we say that  $\varphi$  is *valid*, denoted as  $\models \varphi$ .

If  $\varphi$  has at least one model, we say that it is *satisfiable*.

Satisfiability: Given  $\varphi$  is it satisfiable?

Model Checking: Given  $\mathfrak{m}$  and  $\varphi$ , does  $\mathfrak{m} \models \varphi$ ?

## **Examples**

Let  $\leq$  be a binary predicate symbol, and  $\mathfrak{m}=\langle U, \leq \rangle$  be a structure.  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a partially ordered set if  $\mathfrak{m}\models \varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2$ , where:

$$\varphi_1 : \forall x \forall y . x \leq y \land y \leq x \leftrightarrow x = y$$

$$\varphi_2 : \forall x \forall y \forall z . x \leq y \land y \leq z \rightarrow x \leq z$$

Notice that  $\models \varphi_1 \rightarrow \forall x . x \leq x$ .

 $\mathfrak{m}$  is a linearly ordered set if  $\mathfrak{m} \models \varphi_1 \land \varphi_2 \land \varphi_3$ , where:

$$\varphi_3 : \forall x \forall y . x \leq y \lor y \leq x$$

#### **Exercises**

**Exercise 1** Two problems P and Q are equivalent when a method for solving P is also a method for solving Q, and viceversa. Show that satisfiability and validity of first-order sentences are equivalent problems.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 2** Prove the validity of the following sentences:

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z . \ x = y \land y = z \rightarrow x = z$$

$$(\exists x . \varphi \lor \psi) \leftrightarrow ((\exists x . \varphi) \lor (\exists x . \psi))$$

$$(\forall x . \varphi \land \psi) \leftrightarrow ((\forall x . \varphi) \land (\forall x . \psi))$$

$$(\exists x . \varphi \land \psi) \rightarrow ((\exists x . \varphi) \land (\exists x . \psi))$$

$$\neg(((\exists x . \varphi) \land (\exists x . \psi)) \rightarrow (\exists x . \varphi \land \psi))$$

$$((\forall x . \varphi) \lor (\forall x . \psi)) \rightarrow (\forall x . \varphi \lor \psi)$$

$$\neg((\forall x . \varphi \lor \psi) \rightarrow ((\forall x . \varphi) \lor (\forall x . \psi)))$$

#### Normal Forms

A formula  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(FOL)$  is said to be *quantifier-free* iff it contains no quantifiers.

A quantifier-free formula  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(FOL)$  is said to be in *negation normal form* (NNF) iff the only subformulae appearing under negation are atomic propositions.

A formula  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(FOL)$  is said to be in *prenex normal form* (PNF) iff

$$\varphi = Q_1 x_1 Q_2 x_2 \dots Q_n x_n \cdot \psi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

where  $Q_i \in \{\exists, \forall\}$  and  $\psi$  is a quantifier-free formula. Sometimes  $\psi$  is said to be the *matrix* of  $\varphi$ .

#### Normal Forms

A quantifier-free formula  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(FOL)$  is said to be in *disjunctive normal* form (DNF) iff

$$\varphi = \bigvee_{i} \bigwedge_{j} \lambda_{ij}$$

where  $\lambda_{ij}$  are either atomic propositions or negations of atomic propositions.

A quantifier-free formula  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(FOL)$  is said to be in *conjunctive normal* form (CNF) iff

$$\varphi = \bigwedge_{i} \bigvee_{j} \lambda_{ij}$$

where  $\lambda_{ij}$  are either atomic propositions or negations of atomic propositions.

#### FOL on Finite Words

Let  $\Sigma = \{a, b, \ldots\}$  be a finite alphabet and  $w : \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \to \Sigma$  be a finite word, i.e.  $w = a_0 a_1 \ldots a_{n-1} \in \Sigma^*$ .

The structure corresponding to w is  $\mathfrak{m}_w = \langle dom(w), \{\bar{p_a}\}_{a \in \Sigma}, \bar{\leq} \rangle$ , where:

- $dom(w) = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\},\$
- $\bar{p_a} = \{x \in dom(w) \mid w(x) = a\}$ ,
- $x \leq y$  iff  $x \leq y$ .

$$\mathfrak{m}_{abbaab} = \langle \{0, \dots, 5\}, \ \bar{p_a} = \{0, 3, 4\}, \ \bar{p_b} = \{1, 2, 5\}, \ \bar{\leq} \rangle$$

### **Exercises**

**Exercise 3** Write a FOL formula S(x,y) which is valid for all positions  $x,y\in\mathbb{N}$  such that y=x+1.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 4** Write a FOL sentence whose models are all words with a on even positions and b on odd positions. Next, (try to) write a FOL sentence whose models are all words with a on even positions.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 5** Write a FOL formula len(x) that is satisfied by all words of length x.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 6** Write a FOL sentence whose models are all finite words.

#### Subword Formulae

Let  $w = a_0 a_1 \dots a_{n-1}$  be a finite word, and  $w(i,j) = a_i a_{i+1} \dots a_{j-1}$  be a subword of w,  $0 \le i < n$  and  $0 \le j \le n$ , i < j.

**Proposition 1** For each FOL statement  $\varphi$  there exists a formula  $\varphi(x,y)$  such that, for each  $w \in \Sigma^*$  and each  $0 \le i < j \le |w|$ :

$$w(i,j) \models \varphi \iff w \models \varphi(i,j)$$

By induction on the structure of  $\varphi$ :

$$(\neg \varphi)(x,y) = \neg(\varphi(x,y))$$

$$(\varphi \wedge \psi)(x,y) = (\varphi(x,y)) \wedge (\psi(x,y))$$

$$(\exists z.\varphi)(x,y) = \exists z . x \le z \wedge z < y \wedge \varphi(x,y)$$

#### FOL on Infinite Words

Let  $w: \mathbb{N} \to \Sigma$  be an infinite word.

The structure corresponding to w is  $\mathfrak{m}_w = \langle \mathbb{N}, \{\bar{p_a}\}_{a \in \Sigma}, \bar{\leq} \rangle$ .

$$\mathfrak{m}_{(ab)^{\omega}} = \langle \mathbb{N}, \bar{p_a} = \{2k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \bar{p_b} = \{2k+1 \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}, \bar{\leq} \rangle$$

#### FOL on Finite Trees

Let  $\Sigma = \{f, g, \ldots\}$  be an alphabet and  $t : \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \Sigma$  be a finite tree over  $\Sigma$ .

The structure corresponding to t is  $\mathfrak{m}_t = \langle dom(t), \{\bar{p_f}\}_{f \in \Sigma}, \vec{\leq}, \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rangle$ , where:

- $\bar{p_f} = \{ p \in dom(t) \mid t(p) = f \},$
- $\bullet$   $\leq$  is the prefix order on  $\mathbb{N}^*$ ,
- $s_n(p) = pn$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is the n-th successor function.

$$\mathfrak{m}_{f(f(g,g),g)} = \langle \{\epsilon, 0, 1, 00, 01\}, \bar{p_f} = \{\epsilon, 0\}, \bar{p_g} = \{00, 01, 1\}, \bar{\leq}, \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rangle.$$

## **Examples**

The *lexicographic* order on  $\mathbb{N}^*$  is defined as follows:

$$x \leq y : x \leq y \vee \exists z . s_0(z) \leq x \wedge s_1(z) \leq y$$

**Exercise 7** A red-black tree is a tree in which all nodes are either red or black, such that the root is black, and each red node has only black children. Write a FOL sentence whose models are all red-black trees.  $\Box$ 

#### FOL on Infinite Trees

Let  $t: \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \Sigma$  be an infinite tree over  $\Sigma$ .

The structure corresponding to t is  $\mathfrak{m}_t = \langle \mathbb{N}^*, \{\bar{p_f}\}_{f \in \Sigma}, \bar{\leq}, \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rangle$ .

# Monadic Second Order Logic

The alphabet of MSOL consists of:

- all first-order symbols
- set variables:  $X, Y, Z, \dots$

The set of MSOL terms consists of all first-order terms and set variables. The set of MSOL formulae consists of:

- all first-order formulae, i.e.  $\mathcal{L}(FOL) \subseteq \mathcal{L}(MSOL)$ ,
- ullet if t is a term and X is a set variable, then X(t) is a formula,
- if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are formulae, then  $\varphi \bullet \psi$ ,  $\neg \varphi$ ,  $\forall x . \varphi$ ,  $\exists x . \varphi$ ,  $\forall X . \varphi$  and  $\exists X . \varphi$  are formulae, for  $\bullet \in \{\lor, \land, \rightarrow, \leftrightarrow\}$ .

X(t) is sometimes written  $t \in X$ .

## **Examples**

Universal set:

$$\forall x . X(x)$$

 $X \subseteq Y$ :

$$\forall x . X(x) \rightarrow Y(x)$$

 $X \neq Y$ :

$$\exists x : (X(x) \land \neg Y(x)) \lor (\neg X(x) \land Y(x))$$

 $X = \emptyset$ :

$$\forall x . \neg X(x)$$

Singleton set:

$$\forall Y : ((\forall x : Y(x) \to X(x)) \land \exists x : X(x) \land \neg Y(x)) \to \forall x : \neg Y(x)$$

Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \langle U, \bar{p_1}, \bar{p_2}, \dots, \bar{f_1}, \bar{f_2}, \dots \rangle$  be a *structure*.

The interpretation of variables is a function:

$$\iota: \{x, y, z, \ldots\} \cup \{X, Y, Z, \ldots\} \to U \cup 2^{U}$$

such that:

- $\iota(x) \in U$  for each individual variable x
- $\iota(X) \in 2^U$  for each set variable X

$$[\![\exists X \;.\; \varphi]\!]_{\iota}^{\mathfrak{m}} \;\; = \;\; \mathrm{true} \quad \mathrm{iff} \quad [\![\varphi]\!]_{\iota[X \leftarrow S]}^{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathrm{true} \quad \mathrm{for \; some} \; S \subseteq U$$

### MSOL Example

**Example 2** The MSOL formula that characterizes all partitions  $\langle X, Y \rangle$  of Z:

$$partition(X, Y, Z) : (\forall x \forall y . X(x) \land Y(y) \rightarrow \neg x = y) \land (\forall x . Z(x) \leftrightarrow X(x) \lor Y(x))$$

## MSOL on Words: (W)S1S

Let  $\Sigma = \{a, b, \ldots\}$  be a finite alphabet. The alphabet of the sequential calculus is composed of:

- ullet the function symbol s denotes the successor,
- the set constants  $\{p_a \mid a \in \Sigma\}$ ;  $p_a$  denotes the set of positions of a
- the first and second order variables and connectives.

(W)eak indicates that quantification is over finite sets only.

**Q**: Let  $\mathfrak{m}_{abbaab} = \langle \{0, \dots, 5\}, \bar{p_a} = \{0, 3, 4\}, \bar{p_b} = \{1, 2, 5\}, \leq \rangle$  be a finite word. How much is s(5) ?

## **Examples**

The order  $x \leq y$  on positions is defined as:

- closed(X) :  $\forall x . X(x) \rightarrow X(s(x))$
- $x \leq y : \forall X . X(x) \land closed(X) \rightarrow X(y)$

The set of positions of a word is defined by  $pos(X): \forall x . X(x)$ .

## **Examples**

The first position is:

$$zero(x) : \forall y . x \leq y$$

The set of even positions is defined by

$$even(X)$$
 :  $\exists z . zero(z) \land \exists Y, Z . pos(Z) \land partition(X, Y, Z) \land \\ \forall x, y . X(x) \land s(x) = y \rightarrow Y(y) \land \\ \forall x, y . Y(x) \land s(x) = y \rightarrow Y(x) \land X(z)$ 

The set of all words having a's on even positions is the set of models of the sentence:

$$\exists X : even(X) \land \forall x : X(x) \rightarrow p_a(x)$$

#### **Exercise**

**Exercise 8** Write a S1S formula whose models are exactly all infinite words starting with an even number of 0's followed by an infinite number of 1's.  $\square$ 

## MSOL on Trees: (W)S $\omega$ S

Let  $\Sigma = \{a, b, \ldots\}$  be a tree alphabet. The alphabet of (W)S $\omega$ S is:

- the function symbols  $\{s_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ;  $s_i(x)$  denotes the *i*-th successor of x
- the set constants  $\{p_a \mid a \in \Sigma\}$ ;  $p_a$  denotes the set of positions of a
- the first and second order variables and connectives.

In FOL on trees we had  $\leq$  (prefix) instead of  $s_i$ . Why?

## Examples

Let us consider binary trees, i.e. the alphabet of S2S.

- The formula  $closed(X): \forall x: X(x) \rightarrow X(s_0(x)) \land X(s_1(x))$  denotes the fact that X is a downward-closed set.
- The prefix ordering on tree positions is defined by  $x \leq y : \forall X . closed(X) \land X(x) \rightarrow X(y).$
- The root of a tree is defined by root(x) :  $\forall y$  .  $x \leq y$ .

#### **Exercise**

**Exercise 9** Define the set of binary trees  $t:\{0,1\}^* \to \{a,b\}$  such that t(p)=a if p is of even length and t(p)=b if p is of odd length.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 10** Write a  $S\omega S$  formula path(X) that defines the set of all paths in a binary tree.  $\square$ 

**Exercise 11** Write a  $S\omega S$  sentence whose models are all finite trees.  $\square$