

# Automata on Finite Trees

# Preliminaries

## Trees

A *tree* over  $\Sigma$  is a partial function  $t : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \Sigma$  such that  $dom(t)$  is a prefix-closed set:

- for each  $p \in dom(t)$  for all  $q \leq p$  we have  $q \in dom(t)$ .

A word  $p \in dom(t)$  is called a *position*.

If  $p, q \in dom(t)$  such that  $p \cdot n = q$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

- $p$  is the *parent* of  $q$ ,
- $q$  is the  *$n$ -th child* of  $p$ .

## Trees

Given a finite tree  $t \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ , the *frontier* of  $t$  is the set

$$fr(t) = \{p \in dom(t) \mid \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \quad pn \notin dom(t)\}$$

A *path* in  $t$  is a **maximal subset**  $\pi$  of  $dom(t)$  linearly ordered by  $\leq$ .

Given  $p \in dom(t)$ , the *subtree*  $t_p$  is defined as

$$t_p : \{q \in \mathbb{N}^* \mid pq \in dom(t)\} \rightarrow \Sigma$$

such that  $t_p(q) = t(pq)$ , for all  $q \in dom(t_p)$ .

**Lemma 1 (König)** *A finitely branching tree is infinite if and only if it has an infinite path.*

## Coding $\omega$ -branching trees as binary trees

Let  $t : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \Sigma$  be a tree of arbitrary (possibly infinite) branching.

Define  $t' : \{0, 1\}^* \rightarrow \Sigma \cup \{\bullet\}$  as follows:

- for all  $n_1 n_2 \dots n_k \in \text{dom}(t)$ , let  $t'(1^{n_1} 0 1^{n_2} \dots 0 1^{n_k}) = t(n_1 n_2 \dots n_k)$ ,
- for all other  $p$  let  $t'(p) = \bullet$

## Tree Concatenation

Let  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and  $T, T' \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ .

By  $T \cdot_{\sigma} T'$  we denote the set of trees obtained from some  $t \in T$  by replacing each occurrence of  $\sigma$  on  $fr(t)$  by a tree in  $T'$ .

If  $\vec{\sigma} = \langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \rangle$ , let  $T \cdot_{\vec{\sigma}} \langle T_1, \dots, T_m \rangle$  be the set of trees obtained from some  $t \in T$  by replacing each occurrence of  $\sigma_i$  on  $fr(t)$  by a tree in  $T_i$ .

We denote by  $T \cdot_{\vec{\sigma}} \langle T_1, \dots, T_m \rangle^{\omega \vec{\sigma}}$  the set of infinite trees obtained by the infinite unfolding of the concatenation operation.

## Terms

A *ranked alphabet*  $\langle \Sigma, \# \rangle$  is a set of symbols together with a function  $\# : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ . For  $f \in \Sigma$ , the value  $\#(f)$  is said to be the *arity* of  $f$ .

Zero-arity symbols are called *constants*, and denoted by  $a, b, c, \dots$

A *term*  $t$  over  $\Sigma$  is a partial function  $t : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \Sigma$ :

- $dom(t)$  is a finite prefix-closed subset of  $\mathbb{N}^*$ , and
- for each  $p \in dom(t)$ , if  $\#(t(p)) = n > 0$  then  $\{i \mid pi \in dom(t)\} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

## Contexts

Let  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be a finite set of variables, interpreted over terms.

A term  $t \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma \cup X)$  is said to be *linear* if each variable occurs in  $t$  at most once.

A *context* is a linear term  $C[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , and  $C[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  denotes the result of replacing  $x_i$  with the term  $t_i$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

A context is said to be *trivial* if it is reduced to a variable, and *non-trivial* otherwise.



# Bottom Up Tree Automata

## Definition

Let  $\Sigma = \{f, g, h, \dots\}$  be a finite *ranked alphabet*. A *bottom-up tree automaton* is a tuple  $A = \langle S, T, F \rangle$  where:

- $S$  is a finite set of *states*,
- $T$  is a set of *transition rules* of the form:

$$f(q_1, \dots, q_n) \rightarrow q$$

where  $f \in \Sigma$ ,  $\#(f) = n$ , and  $q_1, \dots, q_n, q \in S$ .

- $F \subseteq S$  is a set of final states.

Notice that there are no initial states.

If  $\#(f) = 0$  we have rules of the form  $f \rightarrow q$ .

## Examples

1. Let  $\Sigma = \{f, g, a\}$ , where  $\#(f) = 2$ ,  $\#(g) = 1$  and  $\#(a) = 0$ .

Let  $A = \langle S, T, F \rangle$ , where:

- $S = \{q_f, q_g, q_a\}$ ,
- $F = \{q_f\}$ ,
- $T = \{a \rightarrow q_a, g(q_a) \rightarrow q_g, g(q_g) \rightarrow q_g, f(q_g, q_g) \rightarrow q_f\}$

2. Let  $\Sigma = \{red, black, nil\}$  with  $\#(red) = \#(black) = 2$  and  $\#(nil) = 0$ .

Let  $A_{rb} = \langle \{q_b, q_r\}, T, \{q_b\} \rangle$  with

$$T = \{nil \rightarrow q_b, black(q_{b/r}, q_{b/r}) \rightarrow q_b, red(q_b, q_b) \rightarrow q_r\}$$

## Runs

A *run* of  $A$  over a tree  $t : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \Sigma$  is a mapping  $\pi : \text{dom}(t) \rightarrow S$  such that, for each position  $p \in \text{dom}(t)$ , where  $q = \pi(p)$ :

- if  $\#(t(p)) = n$  and  $q_i = \pi(pi)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $T$  has a rule

$$t(p)(q_1, \dots, q_n) \rightarrow q$$

A run  $\pi$  is said to be *accepting*, if and only if  $\pi(\lambda) \in F$ .

The *language* of  $A$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{L}(A)$  is the set of all trees over which  $A$  has an accepting run.

A set of trees  $L \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$  is said to be a *rational tree language* iff there exists a bottom-up tree automaton  $A$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(A) = L$ .

## Determinism

A tree automaton is said to be *deterministic* iff there are no two transition rules with the same left-hand side.

**Proposition 1** *A deterministic tree automaton has at most one run for each input tree.*

A tree automaton is said to be *complete* iff there exists at least one transition rule  $f(q_1, \dots, q_n) \rightarrow q$ , for each  $f \in \Sigma$ ,  $\#(f) = n$  and  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in S$ .

**Proposition 2** *A complete tree automaton has at least one run for each input tree.*

## Determinism

**Theorem 1** *Let  $L$  be a rational tree language. Then there exists a complete deterministic tree automaton  $A$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(A) = L$ .*

We define  $A_d = \langle S_d, T_d, F_d \rangle$  where  $S_d = 2^S$ ,  $F_d = \{s \subseteq S \mid s \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$  and:

$$\begin{aligned} f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow s &\iff s = \{q \in S \mid \exists q_1 \in s_1, \dots, \exists q_n \in s_n . f(q_1, \dots, q_n) \rightarrow q\} \\ a \rightarrow s &\iff s = \{q \in S \mid a \rightarrow q\} \end{aligned}$$

To prove  $\mathcal{L}(A_d) = \mathcal{L}(A)$ , we prove:

$$t \xrightarrow[A_d]{*} s \iff s = \{q \in S \mid t \xrightarrow[A]{*} q\}$$

## Determinism

By induction on the structure of  $t$ .

If  $t = a$ , by definition we have  $a \rightarrow s \iff s = \{q \in S \mid a \rightarrow q\}$

If  $t = f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ , by ind. hyp.  $t_i \xrightarrow[A_d]{*} s_i \iff s_i = \{q \in S \mid t_i \xrightarrow[A]{*} q\}$

“ $\Rightarrow$ ” if  $t \xrightarrow[A_d]{*} f(s_1, \dots, s_n) \xrightarrow[A_d]{} s$  we show :

$$\exists q_i \in s_i . f(q_1, \dots, q_n) \xrightarrow[A]{} q \iff t \xrightarrow[A]{*} q$$

## Determinism

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” Let  $s_i = \{q \mid t_i \xrightarrow[A]{} q\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and

$$s' = \{q \mid \exists q_i \in s_i . f(q_1, \dots, q_n) \xrightarrow[A]{} q\}$$

We conclude by showing  $s = s'$   $\square$



## Closure Properties

**Theorem 2** *The class of rational tree languages is closed under union, complementation and intersection.*

**Union** Let  $A_i = \langle S_i, T_i, F_i \rangle$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Suppose that  $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ . Let  $A_U = \langle S_1 \cup S_2, T_1 \cup T_2, F_1 \cup F_2 \rangle$ .

**Complementation** Let  $A = \langle S, T, F \rangle$  be a complete deterministic tree automaton such that  $\mathcal{L}(A) = L$ . Define  $\bar{A} = \langle S, T, S \setminus F \rangle$ .

**Intersection** We use the fact that  $L_1 \cap L_2 = \overline{\overline{L_1} \cup \overline{L_2}}$ .

## Projection

Let  $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 = \{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \mid \sigma_1 \in \Sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \Sigma_2, \#(\sigma_1) = \#(\sigma_2)\}$

We define  $pr_1(t) : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \Sigma_1$ , where  $pr_1(t)(p) = \sigma_1$  iff there exist  $\sigma_2 \in \Sigma_2$  such that  $t(p) = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle$ .

$pr_2(t)$  is defined in a similar way.

**Theorem 3** *If  $L \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2)$  is a rational tree language, then so are the projections  $pr_1(L)$  and  $pr_2(L)$ .*

## Minimization

A relation  $\equiv \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \times \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$  is a *congruence* on  $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$  iff for every context  $C[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ :

$$\forall 1 \leq i \leq n . u_i \equiv v_i \Rightarrow C[u_1, \dots, u_n] \equiv C[v_1, \dots, v_n]$$

For a given tree language  $L$ , we define  $\equiv_L$ :

$$u \equiv_L v \text{ iff for all contexts } C[x] \text{ we have } C[u] \in L \iff C[v] \in L$$

**Exercise 1** Show that  $\equiv_L$  is a congruence.  $\square$

## A Myhill-Nerode Theorem for Tree Languages

**Theorem 4 (Myhill-Nerode)** *A tree language is rational iff the congruence  $\equiv_L$  is of finite index.*

“ $\Rightarrow$ ” Let  $A = \langle S, T, F \rangle$  be a *complete* TA such that  $L = \mathcal{L}(A)$ .

Let  $u \equiv_A v$  iff  $u \xrightarrow{*} q \iff v \xrightarrow{*} q$ , for all  $q \in S$ . We have  
 $u \equiv_A v \Rightarrow u \equiv_L v$ .

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” Define  $A_{min} = \langle S_{min}, T_{min}, F_{min} \rangle$ , where:

- $S_{min} = \{[u]_L \mid u \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)\}$
- $T_{min} = \{f([u_1]_L, \dots, [u_n]_L) = [f(u_1, \dots, u_n)]_L \mid u_1, \dots, u_n, u \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)\}$
- $F_{min} = \{[u]_L \mid u \in L\}$

## Pumping Lemma for Rational Tree Languages

**Lemma 2 (Pumping)** *Let  $L$  be a rational tree language. Then there exists a constant  $N > 0$  such that, for every  $t \in L$  with  $\text{height}(t) > N$ , there exists a context  $C$ , a non-trivial context  $D$  and a tree  $u$  such that  $C[D[u]] \in L$ , and, for all  $n \geq 0$  we have  $C[D^n[u]] \in L$ .*

**Corollary 1** *Let  $A = \langle S, T, F \rangle$  be a tree automaton.*

- 1.  $\mathcal{L}(A) \neq \emptyset$  iff there exists  $t \in \mathcal{L}(A)$  with  $\text{height}(t) < \|S\|$ ,*
- 2.  $\|\mathcal{L}(A)\| = \omega$  iff there exists  $t \in \mathcal{L}(A)$  with  $\|S\| < \text{height}(t) < 2\|S\|$ .*

## Pumping Lemma for Rational Tree Languages

*Exercise 2* Show that  $\{f(g^n(a), g^n(a)) \mid n \geq 0\}$  is not rational.  $\square$

*Exercise 3 (Homework)* Let  $L$  be a rational tree language over the alphabet  $\Sigma = \{f, a, b\}$ , where  $\#(f) = 2$  and  $\#(a) = \#(b) = 0$ . Let  $L^{ac} \supseteq L$  be the smallest tree language which is closed by the application of the two rules below:

- **commutativity:** for all context  $C$  and subtrees  $t_1, t_2$ :

$$C[f(t_1, t_2)] \in L^{ac} \Rightarrow C[f(t_2, t_1)] \in L^{ac}$$

- **associativity:** for all context  $C$  and subtrees  $t_1, t_2, t_3$ :

$$C[f(f(t_1, t_2), t_3)] \in L^{ac} \Rightarrow C[f(t_1, f(t_2, t_3))] \in L^{ac}$$

Show that there exists a rational tree language  $L$  for which  $L^{ac}$  is not rational.  $\square$

## Decidability

- **Emptiness**  $\mathcal{L}(A) = \emptyset$  ?
- **Equality**  $\mathcal{L}(A) = \mathcal{L}(B)$  ?
- **Infinity**  $\|\mathcal{L}(A)\| < \infty$  ?
- **Universality**  $\mathcal{L}(A) = \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$  ?

**Theorem 5** *The emptiness, equality, infinity and universality problems on tree automata are decidable. In particular, emptiness is decidable in time polynomial in the size (number of states) of automata.*

# Top Down Tree Automata



## Definition

A top-down tree automaton is a tuple  $A = \langle S, I, T, F \rangle$  where:

- $S$  is a set of *states*,
- $I \subseteq S$  is a set of *initial states*,
- $T$  is a set of *transition rules* of the form

$$q(f) \rightarrow \langle q_1, \dots, q_n \rangle$$

where  $\#(f) = n > 0$ .

- $F$  is a set of *final states*

Notice that, for  $\#(f) = 0$  there are no rules in  $T$ .

## Runs

A *run* of  $A$  over a tree  $t : \mathbb{N}^* \rightarrow \Sigma$  is a mapping  $\pi : \text{dom}(t) \rightarrow S$  such that, for each position  $p \in \text{dom}(t)$ , where  $q = \pi(p)$ , we have:

- if  $p = \epsilon$  then  $q \in I$ , and
- if  $\#(t(p)) = n$  and  $q_i = \pi(pi)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then  $T$  has a rule

$$q(t(p)) \rightarrow \langle q_1, \dots, q_n \rangle$$

A run  $\pi$  is said to be *accepting*, if and only if  $\pi(p) \in F$ , for all  $p \in \text{fr}(t)$ .

## Top Down vs. Bottom Up

**Theorem 6** *Bottom up and top down tree automata recognize the same languages.*

A top down tree automaton is said to be *deterministic* if it has one initial state and no two rules with the same left-hand side.

**Proposition 3** *A deterministic top down tree automaton has at most one run for each input tree.*

**Proposition 4** *There exists a rational tree language that is not accepted by any top down deterministic tree automaton.*

**Proof:**  $L = \{f(a, b), f(b, a)\}$   $\square$

# Tree Automata and WSkS

## MSOL on Trees: (W)S $\omega$ S

Let  $\Sigma = \{a, b, \dots\}$  be a tree alphabet. The alphabet of (W)S $\omega$ S is:

- the function symbols  $\{s_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ ;  $s_i(x)$  denotes the  $i$ -th successor of  $x$
- the set constants  $\{p_a \mid a \in \Sigma\}$ ;  $p_a$  denotes the set of positions of  $a$
- the first and second order variables and connectives.

## From Automata to Formulae

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_m$ , and  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}^m \cup \{\perp\}$ .

We work on binary trees w.l.o.g.  $\#(\langle \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_m \rangle) = 2$  and  $\#(\perp) = 0$ .

Let  $A = \langle S, I, T, F \rangle$  be a non-deterministic top-down tree automaton, where  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_p\}$ .

## Coding of $\Sigma$

Let  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^m \cup \{\perp\}$  and  $\vec{X} = \langle X_1, \dots, X_m, X_{m+1} \rangle$ .

We define the formula  $\Phi_\sigma(x, \vec{X})$  as the conjunction of:

- $X_i(x)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , if  $\sigma_i = 1$ ,
- $\neg X_i(x)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , if  $\sigma_i = 0$ ,
- $X_{m+1}(x)$ , if  $\sigma = \perp$ .

It follows, that for any  $t \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ , we have  $t \models \forall x . \bigvee_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \Phi_\sigma(x, \vec{X})$ .

## Coding of $S$

Let  $\vec{Y} = \{Y_1, \dots, Y_p\}$  be set variables.

Intuitively, the set variable  $Y_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq p$  contains all tree positions labeled by  $A$  with state  $s_i$  during the run on some tree.

$$\Phi_S(\vec{Y}) : \forall z . \bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq p} Y_i(z) \wedge \bigwedge_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \neg \exists z . Y_i(z) \wedge Y_j(z)$$



## Coding of $I$ , $T$ and $F$

Every run starts from an initial state:

$$\Phi_I(\vec{Y}) : \exists x \forall y . x \leq y \wedge \bigvee_{s_i \in I} Y_i(x)$$

If  $A$  is at position  $x$  and  $t(x) \in \{0, 1\}^m$ ,  $A$  moves on  $\langle s_0(x), s_1(x) \rangle$ :

$$\Phi_T(\vec{X}, \vec{Y}) : \bigwedge_{i=1}^p \forall x . Y_i(x) \wedge \bigvee_{\sigma \in \Sigma \setminus \{\perp\}} \Phi_\sigma(x, \vec{X}) \rightarrow \bigvee_{s_i(\sigma) \rightarrow \langle s_j, s_k \rangle} Y_j(s_0(x)) \wedge Y_k(s_1(x))$$

If  $A$  is at position  $x$  and  $t(x) = \perp$ ,  $A$  must be in an accepting state:

$$\Phi_F(\vec{X}, \vec{Y}) : \forall x . \Phi_\perp(x, \vec{X}) \rightarrow \bigvee_{s_i \in F} Y_i(x)$$

## From Formulae to Automata

Let  $\varphi : x_2 \in X_1$ .

We define  $A_\varphi = \langle \{s_0, s_1\}, s_0, T, \{s_1\} \rangle$ , where:

$$\langle 0, 0 \rangle(s_0) \rightarrow \{ \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle, \langle s_1, s_0 \rangle \}$$

$$\langle 1, 0 \rangle(s_0) \rightarrow \{ \langle s_0, s_1 \rangle, \langle s_1, s_0 \rangle \}$$

$$\langle 1, 1 \rangle(s_0) \rightarrow \langle s_1, s_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle 0, 0 \rangle(s_1) \rightarrow \langle s_1, s_1 \rangle$$

$$\langle 1, 0 \rangle(s_1) \rightarrow \langle s_1, s_1 \rangle$$

$$\perp(s_0) \rightarrow s_0$$

$$\perp(s_1) \rightarrow s_1$$

## From Formulae to Automata

Let  $\varphi : s_0(x_1) = x_2$ .

We define  $A_\varphi = \langle \{s_0, s_1, s_2\}, T, \{s_0\} \rangle$ , where:

$$\langle 0, 0 \rangle \rightarrow s_2$$

$$\langle 0, 1 \rangle \rightarrow s_1$$

$$\langle 1, 1 \rangle \rightarrow s_0$$

$$\langle 0, 0 \rangle(s_2, s_2) \rightarrow s_2$$

$$\langle 0, 1 \rangle(s_2, s_2) \rightarrow s_1$$

$$\langle 1, 0 \rangle(s_1, s_2) \rightarrow s_0$$

$$\langle 0, 0 \rangle(s_0, s_2) \rightarrow s_0$$

$$\langle 0, 0 \rangle(s_2, s_0) \rightarrow s_0$$

## From Formulae to Automata

As in the case of automata on words,  $A_\Phi$  can be effectively constructed, for any formula  $\Phi$  of  $WSkS$ .

**Theorem 7** *Given a ranked alphabet  $\Sigma$ , a tree language  $L \subseteq \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$  is definable in  $WSkS$  iff it is rational.*

**Corollary 2** *The SAT problem for  $WSkS$  is decidable.*