

# One-dimensional Integer Sets

## $p$ -ary Expansions

Given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , its  $p$ -ary expansion is the word  $w \in \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}^*$  such that:

$$n = w(0)p^0 + w(1)p^1 + \dots + w(k)p^k$$

$w$  is denoted also as  $(n)_p$ . Note that the most significant digit is  $w(k)$ .

Conversely, to any word  $w \in \{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}^*$  corresponds its value  $[w]_p = w(0)p^0 + w(1)p^1 + \dots + w(k)p^k$ .

Notice that  $[w]_p = [w0]_p = [w00]_p = \dots$ , i.e. the trailing zeros don't change the value of a word.

## One-dimensional Sets

We consider **one-dimensional sets**  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  coded in base  $p$ .

*Example 1 Powers of 2 coded in base 2:*

$n$	$(n)_2$
1	100000...
2	010000...
4	001000...
8	000100...
16	000010...
...	...

## One-dimensional $p$ -Automata

A  $p$ -automaton is a finite automaton over the alphabet  $\{0, 1, \dots, p - 1\}$ .

A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is said to be  $p$ -recognizable iff there exists a  $p$ -automaton  $A = (S, q_0, T, F)$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(A) = \{w \mid [w]_p \in S\}$ .

We assume that any  $p$ -automaton has a loop  $q \xrightarrow{0} q$  for all  $q \in F$ .

*Example 2* The 2-automaton recognizing the powers of 2 is

$A = (\{q_0, q_1\}, q_0, \rightarrow, \{q_1\})$  where:

- $q_0 \xrightarrow{0} q_0$
- $q_0 \xrightarrow{1} q_1$
- $q_1 \xrightarrow{0} q_1$

## $p$ -Definability

Consider the theory  $\langle \mathbb{N}, +, V_p \rangle$ , where  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $V_p : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is:

- $V_p(0) = 1$ ,
- $V_p(x)$  is the **greatest power of  $p$  dividing  $x$** .

$\langle \mathbb{N}, +, V_p \rangle$  is strictly more expressive than Presburger Arithmetic (why?)

$P_p(x)$  **is true** iff  $x$  is a power of  $p$ , i.e.  $P_p(x) : V_p(x) = x$ .

$x \in_p y$  **is true** iff  $x$  is a power of  $p$  and  $x$  occurs in the  $p$ -expansion of  $y$  with coefficient  $0 \leq j < p$ :

$x \in_{j,p} y : P_p(x) \wedge [\exists z \exists t . y = z + j \cdot x + t \wedge z < x \wedge (t = 0 \vee x < V_p(t))]$

## $p$ -Definability

A set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is  $p$ -definable iff there exists a first-order formula  $\varphi_S(x)$  of  $\langle \mathbb{N}, +, V_p \rangle$  such that:

$$x \in S \iff \varphi_S(x) \text{ holds}$$

*Example 3* The set  $S$  of powers of 2 is 2-definable:

$$\varphi_S(x) : V_2(x) = x$$

# Multi-dimensional Integer Sets

## $p$ -Recognizability and $p$ -Definability

Let  $(u, v) \in (\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}^2)^*$  be a word, where  $u, v \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}^*$  such that  $|\mathbf{u}| = |\mathbf{v}|$ .

We can pad  $u$  and  $v$  to the right with 0's to become equal in length.

$p$ -recognizability: a  $p$ -automaton is defined now over  $(\{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}^2)^*$ .

$p$ -definability: we consider formulae  $\varphi_S(x_1, x_2)$  of  $\langle \mathbb{N}, +, V_p \rangle$ .

The definitions of  $p$ -recognizability and  $p$ -definability are easily adapted to the  $m$ -dimensional case, for any  $m > 0$ .



## $p$ -Recognizability and $p$ -Definability

Consider  $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$  defined as:

$$(n, m) \in T \iff \forall k \geq 0 . \neg(n)_2(k) \vee \neg(m)_2(k)$$

$\uparrow m$

1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0

1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0

1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0

1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0

1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0

1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1  $\xrightarrow{n}$

## $p$ -Recognizability and $p$ -Definability

Consider  $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$  defined as:

$$(n, m) \in T \iff \forall k \geq 0 . \neg(n)_2(k) \vee \neg(m)_2(k)$$

				$\uparrow$ $m$								
					1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
					1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
					1	0	1	0	<b>0</b>	0	0	0
$(n)_2 = (4)_2$	=	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
$(m)_2 = (5)_2$	=	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
					1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
					1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
					1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
												$\xrightarrow{n}$

# $p$ -Recognizability and $p$ -Definability

Consider  $T \subseteq \mathbb{N}^2$  defined as:

$$(n, m) \in T \iff \forall k \geq 0 . \neg(n)_2(k) \vee \neg(m)_2(k)$$

				$\uparrow$	$m$						
				1	0	0	0	0	0	0	
				1	1	0	0	0	0	0	
				1	0	1	0	0	0	0	
$(n)_2 = (3)_2$	$=$	0	1	1	1	1	<b>1</b>	0	0	0	0
$(m)_2 = (4)_2$	$=$	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
				1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
				1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0
				1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
											$\xrightarrow{n}$

## $p$ -Recognizability and $p$ -Definability

The set  $T$  is 2-recognizable.

The set  $T$  is 2-definable:

$$\varphi(x_1, x_2) : \forall z . \neg(z \in_2 x_1) \vee \neg(z \in_2 x_2)$$

where

$$x \in_2 y : P_2(x) \wedge [\exists z \exists t . y = z + x + t \wedge z < x \wedge (t = 0 \vee x < V_2(t))]$$

## $p$ -Recognizability and $p$ -Definability

**Theorem 1** *Let  $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$ ,  $m \geq 1$  and  $p \geq 2$ . Then  $M$  is  $p$ -recognizable if and only if  $M$  is  $p$ -definable.*

For any  $p$ -automaton  $A$  there exists a  $\langle \mathbb{N}, +, V_p \rangle$ -formula  $\varphi_A$  which defines  $\mathcal{L}(A)$ .

For any  $\langle \mathbb{N}, +, V_p \rangle$ -formula  $\varphi$  there exists a  $p$ -automaton  $A_\varphi$  such that  $\mathcal{L}(A)$  is the subset of  $\mathbb{N}^m$  defined by  $\varphi$ .

## From Automata to Formulae

Let  $A = \langle S, q_0, T, F \rangle$  be a  $p$ -automaton.

Suppose  $S = \{q_0, q_1, \dots, q_{\ell-1}\}$  and replace w.l.o.g.  $q_k$  by

$$e_k = \langle \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_k, 1, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{\ell-k-1} \rangle \in \{0, 1\}^\ell$$

We build a formula that defines **all successful runs** of  $A$

A run is a tuple  $\langle n_1, \dots, n_m, y_1, \dots, y_\ell \rangle$  where:

- $\langle (n_1)_p, \dots, (n_m)_p \rangle$  is the word read by  $A$
- $\langle y_1, \dots, y_\ell \rangle$  is the sequence of states during the run

## From Automata to Formulae

$x \in_{j,p} y$  iff  $x$  is a power of  $p$  and the coefficient of  $x$  in  $(y)_p$  is  $j$ :

$$x \in_{j,p} y : P_p(x) \wedge [\exists z \exists t . y = z + j \cdot x + t \wedge z < x \wedge (x < V_p(t) \vee t = 0)]$$

$\lambda_p(x)$  denotes the greatest power of  $p$  occurring in  $(x)_p$  ( $\lambda_p(0) = 1$ ):

- $\lambda_p(x) = p^k$ , where  $k$  = the minimal length of the  $p$ -expansion of  $x$

$$\lambda_p(x) = y : (x = 0 \wedge y = 1) \vee [P_p(y) \wedge y \leq x \wedge \forall z . (P_p(z) \wedge y < z) \rightarrow (x < z)]$$

## From Automata to Formulae

$\langle (n_1)_p, \dots, (n_m)_p \rangle \in \mathcal{L}(A)$  iff exists  $y_1, \dots, y_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

- The first state on the run is  $q_0$  :  $\langle (y_1)_p(0), \dots, (y_\ell)_p(0) \rangle = \langle 1, 0, \dots, 0 \rangle$ :

$$\varphi_1 : \bigwedge_{j=1}^{\ell} 1 \in_{q_0(j),p} y_j$$

- $\langle (y_1)_p(k), \dots, (y_\ell)_p(k) \rangle$  is a final state of  $A$ , where  $k$  is greater or equal to the length of all  $p$ -expansions of  $y_i$ , i.e.  $z = p^k$ :

$$\varphi_2 : P_p(z) \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^{\ell} z \geq \lambda_p(y_j) \wedge \bigvee_{q \in F} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{\ell} z \in_{q(j),p} y_j$$



## From Automata to Formulae

$\langle (n_1)_p, \dots, (n_m)_p \rangle \in \mathcal{L}(A)$  iff exists  $y_1, \dots, y_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$  such that:

- for all  $0 \leq i < k$ :

$$\langle (y_1)_p(i), \dots, (y_\ell)_p(i) \rangle \xrightarrow{\langle (n_1)_p(i), \dots, (n_m)_p(i) \rangle} \langle (y_1)_p(i+1), \dots, (y_\ell)_p(i+1) \rangle$$

$$\varphi_3 : \forall t . P_p(t) \wedge t < z \wedge$$

$$\bigwedge_{T(\mathbf{q}, (a_1, \dots, a_m)) = \mathbf{q}'} \left[ \bigwedge_{j=1}^{\ell} t \in_{\mathbf{q}(j), p} y_j \wedge \bigwedge_{j=1}^m t \in_{\mathbf{a}_j, p} n_j \rightarrow \bigwedge_{j=1}^{\ell} p \cdot t \in_{\mathbf{q}'(j), p} y_j \right]$$

## From Formulae to Automata

Build automata for the atomic formulae  $x + y = z$  and  $V_p(x) = y$ , then compose them with union, intersection, negation and projection.

**Corollary 1** *The theories  $\langle \mathbb{N}, +, V_p \rangle$ ,  $p \geq 2$  are decidable.*

# The Big Picture

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Presburger Arithmetic} & \subset & \langle \mathbb{N}, +, V_p \rangle \\ \Downarrow & & \Downarrow \\ \text{Semilinear Sets} & \subset & p\text{-automata} \end{array}$$

# Base Dependence Theorems

## Base Dependence

**Definition 1** *Two integers  $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$  are said to be **multiplicatively dependent** if there exist  $k, l \geq 1$  such that  $p^k = q^l$ .*

Equivalently,  $p$  and  $q$  are multiplicatively dependent iff there exists  $r \geq 2$  and  $k, l \geq 1$  such that  $p = r^k$  and  $q = r^l$  (why?).

## Base Dependence

**Lemma 1** *Let  $p, q \geq 2$  be multiplicatively dependent integers. Let  $m \geq 1$  and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}^m$  be a set. Then  $S$  is  $p$ -recognizable iff it is  $q$ -recognizable.*

$p^k$ -definable  $\Rightarrow$   $p$ -definable Let  $\phi(x, y) : P_{p^k}(y) \wedge y \leq V_p(x)$ .

We have  $V_{p^k}(x) = y \iff \phi(x, y) \wedge \forall z . \phi(x, z) \rightarrow z \leq y$ .

We have to define  $P_{p^k}$  in  $\langle \mathbb{N}, +, V_p \rangle$ .

## Base Dependence

$$P_{p^k}(x) : P_p(x) \wedge \exists y . x - 1 = (p^k - 1)y$$

Indeed, if  $x = p^{ak}$  then  $p^k - 1 | x - 1$ .

Conversely, if assume  $x$  is a power of  $p$  but not of  $p^k$ , i.e.  $x = p^{ak+b}$ , for some  $0 < b < k$ .

Then  $x - 1 = p^b(p^{ak} - 1) + (p^b - 1)$ , and since  $p^k - 1 | x - 1$ , we have  $p^k - 1 | p^b - 1$ , contradiction.

## Base Dependence

$p$ -definable  $\Rightarrow p^k$ -definable

$$V_{p^k}(x) = V_{p^k}(p^{k-1}x) \quad \rightarrow \quad V_p(x) = V_{p^k}(x)$$

$$V_{p^k}(x) = V_{p^k}(p^{k-2}x) \quad \rightarrow \quad V_p(x) = pV_{p^k}(x)$$

...

$$V_{p^k}(x) = V_{p^k}(px) \quad \rightarrow \quad V_p(x) = p^{k-2}V_{p^k}(x)$$

$$\text{else} \quad V_p(x) = p^{k-1}V_{p^k}(x)$$

*Example 4*

$$V_4(x) = V_4(2x) \quad \rightarrow \quad V_4(x) = V_2(x)$$

$$V_4(x) \neq V_4(2x) \quad \rightarrow \quad 2V_4(x) = V_2(x)$$



## The Theorem of Cobham-Semenov

**Theorem 2 (Cobham-Semenov)** *Let  $m \geq 1$ , and  $p, q \geq 2$  be multiplicatively independent integers. Let  $s : \mathbb{N}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be a sequence. If  $s$  is  $p$ -recognizable and  $q$ -recognizable, then  $s$  is definable in  $\langle \mathbb{N}, + \rangle$ .*

semilinear sets =  $p$ -recognizable  $\cap$   $q$ -recognizable

$p, q$  multiplicatively independent

## Exercise

- 1) Prove that every strictly positive natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  has a prime factorization. Prove that this factorization is unique.
- 2) The arithmetic of Skolem is the first order theory of strictly positive natural numbers, with multiplication  $\langle \mathbb{N}^+, \cdot \rangle$ . Prove the decidability of this theory.